

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 11306-116002 4506 John Russell 08/19/2003 10/643,515 **EXAMINER** 26191 7590 FAISON, VERONICA F FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 3300 DAIN RAUSCHER PLAZA ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **60 SOUTH SIXTH STREET** 1755 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402

DATE MAILED: 07/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/643,515	RUSSELL ET AL.	W,
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Veronica F. Faison	1755	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communic ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ration.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	 ,		
 ///	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under a condition.			s is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1,2 and 39-60 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2 and 47-60 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 39-46 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			04 (J)
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	its have been received. Its have been received in Applicatority documents have been receiveu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage)
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2-9-04.	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		
гары но(э)нчан Date <u>2-3-04</u> .	o, 🗀 Oaloi		

Art Unit: 1755

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 2, 38, 47-60 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 2,6, 11, 20, 24-28, 32 and 33 of U.S. Patent No. 6,623,553. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the present application overlap said claims and would be obvious thereby.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ahn (US Patent 5,834,047).

Art Unit: 1755

Ahn teaches a method of imprinting with an edible ink (abstract and col. 2 lines 33-35). The reference further teaches that the edible ink composition comprises 1 to 30 percent by weight of food dye, 1 to 30 percent by weight of purified water, 1 to 50 percent by weight of oxide titanium, and 40-98 percent by weight of liquefied sugar (col. 2 lines 45-53). The only limitation in the claims not found by the Examiner is the viscosity of the ink composition. However, this limitation is considered obvious because there does not appear to be any reason why the cited reference would not contain an ink composition with applicants claimed viscosity because similar ink compositions with the same ink components would obviously have same properties (i.e. viscosity).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 39-46 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The references alone or in combination fail to teach an article comprising an edible substrate, and edible ink disposed an exposed surface of the edible substrate, the ink having a viscosity of about 200 to about 16000 cp at 25°C wherein the edible ink is transferred to the edible substrate using a printing process.

Conclusion

The remaining references listed on forms 892 and 1449 have been reviewed by the Examiner and are considered to be cumulative to or less material than the prior art references relied upon in the above rejections.

Art Unit: 1755

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Veronica F. Faison whose telephone number is 571-272-1366. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays 8 am to 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell can be reached on 571-272-1362. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

June 27, 2004